

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Cr.C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/921,031	08/02/2001	Charles B. Mattas	US010315 (7790/40)	7829
24737 7	590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INT P.O. BOX 300	ELLECTUAL PROPER	VU, DAVID HUNG		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

■ ,		Application N .	Applicant(s)	1,			
Office Action Summary		09/921,031	MATTAS ET AL.	v^{\sim}			
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		David Vu	2821				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears n the c ver shee	et with the correspondence addi	ess			
THE - External afternal aftern	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ers IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment: See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mattion. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>07 August 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) [closed in accordance with the practice to			merits is			
• • —	t ion of Claims Claim(s) <u>37-56</u> is/are pending in the app	alication	,				
7/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>51-56</u> is/are allowed.	itildrawn nom consideration.	•				
·	Claim(s) <u>37-40</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>41-50</u> is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement					
	tion Papers	. 1					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objectio		• • •				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
40)[If approved, corrected drawings are require		•				
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		·				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		· · · <u></u>				
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	age			
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
	a) The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachmer		, ,					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). e of Informal Patent Application (PTO- :				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

الع الكسيسية عيد

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification, drawings, and claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daub, U.S. Pat. No. 5,103,143.

Daub discloses the claimed invention including voltage sensor U for determining a voltage across lamp GDL; current sensor I for determining a current through the lamp;

both sensors are in electrical communication with the control circuit; and a control circuit including at least comparator V, actual-power-value determining device LI for approximating a lamp power as a function of first and second sensing voltage, comparing the approximated lamp power against a reference voltage, and regulating the power to the lamp based on the comparison, see, for example, figure 1, column 3, lines 1-35, column 4, lines 33+, column 5, lines 1-21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daub in view of Bertniz et al U.S. Pat. No. 5,198,728.

Daub as discussed from the above, essentially discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose the voltage sensor includes a voltage divider. Bertniz et al disclose voltage divider R3,R2 (figure 2). An obvious modification would have provided the Daub reference with the voltage divider as taught by Bertniz et al. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention was made to have provided the Daub reference with the voltage divider as it would have provided the lamp circuit with means for generating a first sensing voltage to the control circuit. Bertniz et al also disclose resistor R1. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention was made to have provided the Daub reference with resistor R as it would have provided the lamp circuit with means for generating a second sensing voltage to the control circuit.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 41-50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Serial Number:09/921031 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. claims 51-56 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 37-56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly,

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-6077.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.
- only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

dv

October 19, 2003

DAVID VU PRIMARY EXAMINER